

2024-25 Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

| Local Educational Agency (LEA) | Contact Name and Title | Email and Phone |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Lassen View Elementary School | Jerry Walker Principal / Superintendent | jwalker@lassenview.org (530)527-5162 |

Introduction

The California State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area.

This template is intended as a drafting tool and based on the Local Performance Indicator Quick Guide published by CDE in January 2024.

Performance Standards

The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Local Indicators

The local indicators address the following state priority areas:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

Note: LEAs are required to report the following to their local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP:

- The LEA's Teacher Assignment Monitoring and Outcome data available at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/tamo.asp>.
- The number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, and
- The number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same public meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers an annual local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness, in at least one grade within each grade span(s) the LEA serves (e.g., TK-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

Note: LEAs are required to report the following to their local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP:

- The LEA’s Teacher Assignment Monitoring and Outcome data available at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/tamo.asp>.
- The number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, and
- The number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

| Academic Year | Total Teaching FTE | Clear | Out-of-Field | Intern | Ineffective | Incomplete | Unknown | N/A |
|---------------|--------------------|-------|--------------|--------|-------------|------------|---------|-----|
| 2023-2024 | 17.8 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Access to Instructional Materials | Number | Percent |
|--|--------|---------|
| Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home | 0 | 0 |

| Facility Conditions | Number |
|--|--------|
| Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies) | 0 |

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

LEAs may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, LEAs may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

OPTION 1: Narrative Summary (Limited to 3,000 characters)

In the narrative box provided on the Dashboard, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the state board and briefly describe why the LEA chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the LEA's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the SBE, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts (ELA) – Common Core State Standards for ELA
- English Language Development (ELD) (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for ELA)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science
- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

English Language Arts- In addition to the CAASPP assessment for state testing results, we use I-Ready three times per year to monitor growth. For K-1 students, the ESGI assessment helps teachers identify growth and academic progress. For K-5 students, we implemented Reading Mastery this year and did an assessment with all K-5 students to place them in levels. Benchmark assessments are used K-3 to monitor progress in our state adopted ELA curriculum. In middle school, Membeam is used to improve vocabulary development. We also use Accelerated Reader to monitor student individual reading.

English Language Development- In addition to everything we do in ELA, our second language students are assessed with the ELPAC for language development.

Mathematics- In addition to the CAASPP assessment for state results, we use the I-Ready assessment three times per year to monitor growth. We also use our adopted curriculum (Math Expressions for K-2, Into Math for 3-5, and College Preparatory Math for 6-8) to assess students and monitor growth.

Next Generation Science Standards- The 5th and 8th Grade are tested on the CAASPP for science. Our middle school uses a program called Zingy which assesses students after each lesson.

History-Social Science- In addition to the grade level curriculum, there are project-based learning lessons and other projects that students complete to show understanding of state standards

Career Technical Education- Our 8th graders attend a Career Day which is hosted by our county office of education. Recently, I have participated in meetings and planning groups to apply for a career technical grant.

Health Education- Cal Fresh, a nutrition-based state funded group, is on campus monthly providing information regarding nutrition to numerous classes. In addition, our Physical Education Teacher, provides some health education as part of the physical education program.

Physical Education- Lassen View has a full-time physical education teacher on staff who ensures the content standards are taught. Students are assessed in a variety of activities including a timed run, push-ups, pull-ups, and flexibility measures.

Visual and Performing Arts- All students in grades K-6 have art education taught by their general education teacher. Students in grades 7-8 have an art elective that can be chosen. For music, we have a 40% teacher who teaches music to all K-6 graders every week. Students in 7-8 have two electives in which to choose- Ensemble and/or Band.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Academic Standards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA | | | | 4 | |
| ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards) | | | | 4 | |
| Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics | | | | 4 | |
| Next Generation Science Standards | | | 3 | | |
| History-Social Science | | | 3 | | |

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Academic Standards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA | | | | | 5 |
| ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards) | | | | | 5 |
| Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics | | | | | 5 |
| Next Generation Science Standards | | | | 4 | |
| History-Social Science | | | | 4 | |

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Academic Standards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA | | | | 4 | |
| ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards) | | | | 4 | |
| Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics | | | | 4 | |
| Next Generation Science Standards | | | 3 | | |
| History-Social Science | | | 3 | | |

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Academic Standards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| Career Technical Education | | | | 4 | | |
| Health Education Content Standards | | 2 | | | | |
| Physical Education Model Content Standards | | | | | 5 | |
| Visual and Performing Arts | | | | | 5 | |
| World Language | 1 | | | | | |

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Academic Standards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole | | | | 4 | |
| Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers | | | | 4 | |
| Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered | | | 3 | | |

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

Lassen View consistently analyzes our curriculum with the intent to improve instruction using state adopted materials.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: ¹

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families

2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Practices | Rating Scale Number |
|---|---------------------|
| 1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families. | 5 |
| 2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community. | 5 |

| Practices | Rating Scale Number |
|--|---------------------|
| 3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children. | 3 |
| 4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families. | 5 |

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Parents and community members appreciate the personable and approachable staff at Lassen View, as well as the effective communication methods used, such as reminders and feedback. The support provided by the school in helping parents assist their children's learning is also valued. Staff at Lassen View highlight the positive work environment, strong teamwork, and supportive dynamics among colleagues as significant strengths. The flexibility and autonomy in their roles are also appreciated.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Organizing more engagement activities to foster a stronger sense of community. Parents have suggested the need for more consistent feedback and additional engagement activities to enhance their involvement. There are suggestions for more team-building activities to enhance collaboration, and a need for increased support for special education.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

The majority of feedback has come from parent participation as listed above. We are focusing on developing additional strategies for receiving input which includes developing an annual survey that focuses on key topics of the LCAP and school improvement areas.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Practices | Rating Scale Number |
|---|---------------------|
| 5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families. | 3 |
| 6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home. | 3 |

| Practices | Rating Scale Number |
|---|---------------------|
| 7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes. | 5 |
| 8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students. | 5 |

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Parents and community members appreciate the personable and approachable staff at Lassen View, as well as the effective communication methods used, such as reminders and feedback. The support provided by the school in helping parents assist their children's learning is also valued. Staff at Lassen View highlight the positive work environment, strong teamwork, and supportive dynamics among colleagues as significant strengths.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Organizing more engagement activities to foster a stronger sense of community. Parents have suggested the need for more consistent feedback and additional engagement activities to enhance their involvement. There are suggestions for more team-building activities to enhance collaboration, and a need for increased support for special education.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

We will continue utilizing the administered surveys for comparison each year and use the input from these surveys to evaluate our LCAP goals and address any inequities and weaknesses identified.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Practices | Rating Scale Number |
|--|---------------------|
| 9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making. | 5 |
| 10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making. | 5 |

| Practices | Rating Scale Number |
|--|---------------------|
| 11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community. | 5 |
| 12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels. | 5 |

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

The district uses our all-call system, Blackboard Connect, to send out messages every Sunday during the school year. Information regarding School Site Council Meetings and parent input is included several times per year.

But, we still have parents who do not get or read/listen to the messages. We are considering an improved communication system for future years but the cost is about 5 times per year of what we currently pay.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

The biggest input is that some parents do not feel the district does enough to promote attendance at Board Meetings.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Parents involvement on School Site Council and feedback received for decision-making will continue to be an emphasis for the school through the established formal and information practices in place.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

Introduction

The initial design of the Local Control Funding Formula recognized the critical role that positive school conditions and climate play in advancing student performance and equity. This recognition is grounded in a research base demonstrating that a positive school climate directly impacts indicators of success such as increased teacher retention, lower dropout rates, decreased incidences of violence, and higher student achievement.

In order to support comprehensive planning, LEAs need access to current data. The measurement of school climate provides LEAs with critical data that can be used to track progress in school climate for purposes of continuous improvement, and the ability to identify needs and implement changes to address local needs.

Introduction

LEAs are required, at a minimum, to annually administer a local climate survey. The survey must:

- Capture a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within each grade span the LEA serves (e.g. TK-5, 6-8, 9-12); and

- At a minimum, report disaggregated data by student groups identified in California Education Code 52052, when such data is available as part of the local school climate survey.

Based on the analysis of local data, including the local climate survey data, LEAs are to respond to the following three prompts. Each prompt response is limited to 3,000 characters. An LEA may provide hyperlink(s) to other documents as necessary within each prompt:

Prompt 1 (DATA): Describe the local climate survey data, including available data disaggregated by student groups. LEAs using surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, are encouraged to report the overall score for all students as well as available student group scores. Responses may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

Students feel recognized and included by the staff, which contributes to a positive school experience. Parents and community members appreciate the effective communication methods used, such as reminders and feedback. The support provided by the school in helping parents assist their children's learning is also valued. Staff at Lassen View highlight the positive work environment, strong teamwork, and supportive dynamics among colleagues as significant strengths.

Prompt 2 (MEANING): Describe key learnings, including identified needs and areas of strength determined through the analysis of data described in Prompt 1, including the available data disaggregated by student group.

There are areas for improvement, such as providing more consistent feedback to students and organizing more engagement activities to foster a stronger sense of community. Parents have suggested the need for more consistent feedback and additional engagement activities to enhance their involvement. There is also room for improvement in ensuring that all children feel emotionally safe and included. There are suggestions for more team-building activities to enhance collaboration, and a need for increased support for special education. Some staff members have also noted the variability in feedback mechanisms and expressed a desire for improvements in this area. There are common areas for improvement, such as the need for more consistent feedback, increased engagement activities, and better support for special education. Strengthening team collaboration and ensuring emotional safety and inclusion for all students are also highlighted as important areas to address.

Prompt 3 (USE): Describe any changes to existing plans, policies, or procedures that the LEA determines necessary in order to address areas of need identified through the analysis of local data and the identification of key learnings. Include any revisions, decisions, or actions the LEA has, or will, implement for continuous improvement purposes.

Parents involvement on School Site Council and feedback received for decision-making will continue to be an emphasis for the school through the established formal and information practices in place.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

The tools we use are lesson plans and observations.

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent

to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

Being a small school, we are very aware of instructional opportunities for all of our students. All students have access to core curriculum. All students in grades K-8 received their mandated minutes in PE. All students in K-6 has music education weekly and students in grades 7-8 had an elective option for ensemble and band. Elective options were available for 7th-8th grade students two times per week.

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

There are no barriers.

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

With the rise in mental health concerns, we also feel the need to educate students more on the importance of nutrition, safe use of internet and social media, career tech, and provide more opportunities for preferred educational activities. For that reason, we have adjusted our middle school schedule to balance the needs of core instruction and enrichment.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – COE Only (LCFF Priority 9)

Assess the degree of implementation of the progress in coordinating instruction for expelled students in your county.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Coordinating Instruction | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Assessing status of triennial plan for providing educational services to all expelled students in the county, including: | [No response required] | [No response required] | [No response required] | [No response required] | [No response required] |
| a. Review of required outcome data. | | | | | |
| b. Identifying existing educational alternatives for expelled pupils, gaps in educational services to expelled pupils, and strategies for filling those service gaps. | | | | | |
| c. Identifying alternative placements for pupils who are expelled and placed in district community day school programs, but who fail to meet the terms and conditions of their rehabilitation plan or who pose a danger to other district | | | | | |

| Coordinating Instruction | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| pupils. | | | | | |
| 2. Coordinating on development and implementation of triennial plan with all LEAs within the county. | | | | | |
| 3. Establishing ongoing collaboration and policy development for transparent referral process for LEAs within the county to the county office of education or other program options, including dissemination to all LEAs within the county a menu of available continuum of services for expelled students. | | | | | |
| 4. Developing memorandum of understanding regarding the coordination of partial credit policies between district of residence and county office of education. | | | | | |

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

Assess the degree of implementation of coordinated service program components for foster youth in your county.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

- 1 - Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 - Beginning Development
- 3 - Initial Implementation
- 4 - Full Implementation
- 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

| Coordinating Services | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1. Establishing ongoing collaboration and supporting policy development, including establishing formalized information sharing agreements with child welfare, probation, Local Education Agency (LEAs), the courts, and other organizations to support determining the proper educational placement of foster youth (e.g., school of origin versus current residence, comprehensive versus alternative school, and regular versus special education). | | | | | |

| Coordinating Services | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. Building capacity with LEA, probation, child welfare, and other organizations for purposes of implementing school-based support infrastructure for foster youth intended to improve educational outcomes (e.g., provide regular professional development with the Foster Youth Liaisons to facilitate adequate transportation services for foster youth). | | | | | |
| 3. Providing information and assistance to LEAs regarding the educational needs of foster youth in order to improve educational outcomes. | | | | | |
| 4. Providing direct educational services for foster youth in LEA or county-operated programs provided the school district has certified that specified services cannot be provided or funded using other sources, including, but not limited to, Local Control Funding Formula, federal, state or local funding. | | | | | |
| 5. Establishing ongoing collaboration and supporting development of policies and procedures that facilitate expeditious transfer of records, transcripts, and other relevant educational information. | | | | | |
| 6. Facilitating the coordination of post-secondary opportunities for youth by engaging with systems partners, including, but not limited to, child welfare transition planning and independent living services, community colleges or universities, career technical education, and workforce development providers. | | | | | |
| 7. Developing strategies to prioritize the needs of foster youth in the community, using community-wide assessments that consider age group, geographical area, and identification of highest needs students based on academic needs and placement type. | | | | | |

| Coordinating Services | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8. Engaging in the process of reviewing plan deliverables and of collecting and analyzing LEA and COE level outcome data for purposes of evaluating effectiveness of support services for foster youth and whether the investment in services contributes to improved educational outcomes for foster youth. | | | | | |